| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| | 09/829,486 | HIBLAR ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kathryn Odland | 3743 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) Kathryn Odland. | (3) | |
| (2) Ryan Dodge. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 28 May 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) - Bean (US 5,964,004) in view of Minton (US 5 | ,457,841) | |
| Claims discussed: 1, 27 and 33 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Bean (US 5,964,004), Minton (US 5,457,841) and Hilal (US 5,41 | 1,509) | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Kathara Idland | | |
| (Examiner/\$PE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was contacted to amend claim 1 to more clearly define the invention in order to define over the prior art. Applicant agreed to incorporate the limitation "portions of the flexible annular disk are rotated approximately 90 degrees from an unfolded configuration."

Hennett Supervisory/Fragent Examiner